

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,855	08/29/2001	Kazuyoshi Namba	33082M100 5071	
75	90 06/09/2004		EXAM	INER
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP			SPISICH, MARK	
Suite 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1850 M Street, N.W.			1744	
Washington, D	C 20030		1777	

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/940,855	NAMBA, KAZUYOSHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Spisich	1744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Mar</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 2-4,7 and 9-25 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-20 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4,7,10 and 23-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 9,11,12,21 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the correction is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite)-152) 			

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 13-20 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 3 March 2004, which confirmed a prior telephone election.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 4,7,10 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nishimura et al (USP 6,286,525). The patent to Nishimura discloses a substrate (W) cleaning apparatus comprising a substrate holder (3) as well as two (8a and 8b) scrub heads which in use are located together over the substrate (see fig 1 and column 6, lines 18-24) so as to move horizontally above the substrate and further wherein one of the scrub heads "follows" the other of the scrub heads (see figs 5A and 5B). The scrub heads may be of different types, i.e. the first brush (8a) may be a soft brush such as a mohair brush and the second brush (8b) may be a hard brush such as a PVA brush (see column 6, line 66 thru column 7, line 2). This disclosure clearly meets

the recitation in claim 7 (lines 10-11) of "wherein the first and second scrub heads are different at least in material or structure". The patent to Nishimura also discloses that the softer brush (8a) may be pressed against the substrate so as to apply a weaker force when compared to that of the other hard brush (8b) (column 6, lines 57-65). It would appear that a harder brush which is also pressed against the substrate a greater amount would be superior with regard to contamination removal and the softer brush which is pressed against the substrate a lesser degree would have a lower degree of adhesion (claims 4 and 10). Nishimura further discloses a motor (1) that rotates the substrate holder (3) as well as a head traveling mechanism (9) that moves the first and second scrub heads linearly and horizontally (claim 23) and that the distance between the heads held in a head holder (7b) remains constant (claim 24) as the heads are guided (claim 25) during movement thereof.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 2 and 3 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 9,11,12,21 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant's arguments (in view of the amendments to each of the independent claims) have been deemed sufficient to overcome the prior rejections. It is noted that applicant's characterization of Nishimura et al (USP)

Art Unit: 1744

6,286,525), which is stated as corresponding to JP 10-308370A (pages 1-2 of the specification), is incorrect in that there is a positive recitation that the brushes need not be identical.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Spisich whose telephone number is (571) 272-1278. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6-3:30), Alternate Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1281. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/940,855 Page 5

Art Unit: 1744

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Spisich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

MS